Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/701,492	DESORMEAUX ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark T. Le	3617
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Mark T. Le</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Alex Naneff</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 August 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: all		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		•
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
samue		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It appeared that Applicant needed help in placing the application in a better condition for allowance; therefore, the examiner offered an assistance in amending the claims to overcome informalities and conform to the U.S. practices. In response, Applicant accepted the proposed changes as now set forth in the examiner's amendments..